

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trac ark Office

Address: COMMISSIONEÁ OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT APPLICATION NUMBER → FILING DATE ATTY DOCKET NO 09/424,104 11/18/99 BRONK PC95764 EXAMINER HM22/0613 APEUNEELEV PAPER NUMBER PAUL H GINSBURG PFIZER INC 235 EAST 42ND STREET 20TH FLOOR NEW YORK NY 10017-5755 06/13/00 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS **OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY** Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). **Disposition of Claims** Claim(s) _ is/are pending in the application. Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. X Claim(s) _is/are rejected. Claim(s) _is/are objected to. Claim(s) _are subject to restriction or election requirement. **Application Papers** See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _ is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed on _is _ approved _ disapproved. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES-

J.N. 09/424109 Au 1623

This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is improper to use the terminology "selected from" (claims 1, 4, 5, 12, 14, 24 and 26) instead of the terminology "selected from the group consisting of" with respect to the markush terminology.

Claim 21 is an improper multiple dependent claim. Note that a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor

and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hauske et al (U.S. Patent No. 4,512,982) in view of Yang (U.S. Patent No. 5,441,939).

Hauske et al teach a closely analogous 9-deoxy-9A-aza-9a-homoerythromycin having two hydrogens or an oxo group at the 4"-position and having antibacterial activity but do not disclose said compounds having an alkyl or alkenyl group at the 4"-position. However, since Yang disclose closely analogous erythromycin derivatives having antibacterial activity having an alkyl or an alkenyl group at the 4"-position (column 2), a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the instant invention was made would have been motivated to modify compounds disclosed by Hauske et al at the 4"-position in accordance with the teaching by Yang because such a person would have expected the resulting compounds to possess antibacterial activity.

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over the European Patent No. 0,508,699 A1.

The European patent discloses the claimed compound (page 11, compound (xv). The claimed compound is anticipated by the European patent. In addition, if there are any differences between the claimed compounds and the prior art compounds, the differences would appear to be minor in nature and the claimed compounds, which fall within the scope of the prior art's disclosure, would have ben prima facie obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the instant invention was made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elli Peselev whose telephone number is (703) 30804616. The examiner can

normally be reached on weekdays from 9.30 a.m. to 6.00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Geist, can be reached on (703) 308-1701. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.